

The Audit Findings for East Sussex County Council

Year ended 31 March 2024

22 November 2024





East Sussex County Council

County Hall St. Annes Crescent,
Lewes,
East Sussex,
BN7 1UE

22nd November 2024

Grant Thornton UK LLP

30 Finsbury Square,
London.
EC2A 1AG

[T +44 (0)20 7383 5100]

www.grantthornton.co.uk

Dear Members of the Audit Committee,

Audit Findings for East Sussex County Council for the 31 March 2024

This Audit Findings presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process and confirmation of auditor independence, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260. Its contents have been discussed with management. This report is presented to the Audit Committee as at 22 November 2024 and summarises our audit findings and our conclusions.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose all defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

We encourage you to read our transparency report which sets out how the firm complies with the requirements of the Audit Firm Governance Code and the steps we have taken to drive audit quality by reference to the Audit Quality Framework. The report includes information on the firm's processes and practices for quality control, for ensuring independence and objectivity, for partner remuneration, our governance, our international network arrangements and our core values, amongst other things. This report is available at [transparency-report-2023.pdf \(grantthornton.co.uk\)](#).

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the kind assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

Joanne Brown

Partner
For Grant Thornton UK LLP

Chartered Accountants

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

grantthornton.co.uk

Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Joanne Brown

Key Audit Partner

E joanne.e.brown@uk.gt.com

Andy Conlan

Audit Senior Manager

E andy.n.conlan@uk.gt.com

Ella Connick

Audit In-charge

E ella.connick@uk.gt.com

Section

Section	Page
1. Headlines	4-5
2. Financial statements	6-19
3. Value for money arrangements	20
4. Independence and ethics	21-23

Appendices

A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance	25
B. Action plan – Audit of Financial Statements	26
C. Follow up of prior year recommendations	27
D. Audit Adjustments	28-33
E. Fees and non-audit services	34
F. Audit Opinion	35-37

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

1. Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of East Sussex County Council ('the Council') and the preparation of the Council's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2024 for the attention of those charged with governance.

Financial Statements

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the Council's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and its income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We are also required to report whether other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS), Narrative Report and Pension Fund Financial Statements), is materially consistent with the financial statements and with our knowledge obtained during the audit, or otherwise whether this information appears to be materially misstated.

Our audit work was completed remotely during July-October as planned. Our findings are summarised on pages 7-20. We have identified 1 adjusted misclassification in the financial statements and 1 proposed adjustment to the financial statements which if adjusted would result in a £1.967m adjustment to the Council's Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. These have no impact on the level of the Council's useable reserves. As the proposed adjustment is not material, the council have opted to leave this as an immaterial unadjusted misstatement. This report is presented to the Audit Committee as at 22 November and summarises our audit and our conclusions to date. We expect to sign the Auditor's Report after the meeting on the 22 November.

Audit adjustments are detailed at Appendix D. We have also raised recommendations for management as a result of our audit work. These are set out at Appendix B. Our follow up of recommendations from the prior year's audit are detailed at Appendix C.

There are no matters of which we are aware that would require modification of our audit opinion (see Appendix F) or material changes to the financial statements, subject to the following outstanding matters;

- Clearance of final review notes raised from the Senior Management quality review of the completed audit sections;
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter; and
- Review of the final set of financial statements.

We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement, is consistent with our knowledge of your organisation and with the financial statements we have audited.

Our anticipated financial statements audit opinion based upon the completed work to date and subject to satisfactory completion of the above outstanding points will be unqualified. We have also issued our final Auditor's Annual Report on Value for Money to this meeting of the Audit Committee included as a separate paper, and this details our view on the Authority's arrangements to secure Value for Money.

1. Headlines

Value for Money (VFM) arrangements

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Auditors are required to report in more detail on the Council's overall arrangements, as well as key recommendations on any significant weaknesses in arrangements identified during the audit.

Auditors are required to report their commentary on the Council's arrangements under the following specified criteria:

- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness;
- Financial sustainability; and
- Governance

Our work on the Council's value for money (VFM) arrangements is reported in our commentary on the Council's arrangements in our Auditor's Annual Report (AAR) which is included as a separate paper reported to this meeting of the Audit Committee.

In that work we have concluded that there is a significant weakness in arrangements to secure financial sustainability. This is a result of local government sector wide challenges, of increasing service demand coupled with static or reducing government funding creating a financial gap, and future affordability challenges, as it stands in a climate of limited reserves. We have issued a key recommendation with respect to this weakness. We have also made some more minor improvement recommendations in respect of governance and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness. We have considered the findings detailed in the Auditor's Annual Report (AAR) and we are satisfied that these do not impact on the financial statements or our opinion on the financial statements.

Statutory duties

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') also requires us to:

- report to you if we have applied any of the additional powers and duties ascribed to us under the Act; and
- to certify the closure of the audit.

We have not exercised any of our additional statutory powers or duties.

We have completed the majority of work under the Code, however we will not be able to certify the completion of the audit when we give our audit opinion as the National Audit Office (NAO) have requested that auditor's hold certificates as they will be completing further work on a sample of audits for 2023/24 yet to be confirmed.

Significant matters

Whilst we did not encounter any significant difficulties or identify any significant matters arising during our audit, we note that delayed responses from your professional valuation expert and from your estates team have continued to impact on our audit team completing the work around the valuation of land and buildings significant risk within the timeline which we set out for the audit.

2. Financial Statements

Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Council's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls;
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks

Conclusion

Based on the work to date no material errors or issues have arisen which would require modification of our audit opinion. We will be able to issue our audit opinion once final review notes are cleared, we check the final amended set of financial statements and we receive the signed letter of representation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff.

2. Financial Statements



Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to the disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

We have revised the performance materiality due to the actual gross expenditure changing significantly from that anticipated at the planning stage resulting in a review of the appropriateness of the materiality figure.

We set out in this table our determination of materiality for East Sussex County Council Council.

Council Amount (£) Qualitative factors considered

Materiality for the financial statements	£17.180m	We have determined financial statement materiality based on a proportion (1.45%) of the gross expenditure of the council for the financial year.
Performance materiality	£12.885m	The maximum amount of misstatement the audit team could accept in an individual account or group of related accounts. This is less than materiality due to “aggregation risk” and represents 75% of the materiality figure determined above.
Trivial matters	£0.859m	We are obligated to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are ‘clearly trivial’ to those charged with governance.
Materiality for Officers Remuneration	20k	Our assessment of what users would consider to be material with respect to Officers Remuneration. This is to scope in the public sensitivity and interest into senior officers pay in particular for public sector entities



2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in the Audit Plan.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary

ISA240 fraudulent revenue recognition

Under ISA 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. We have considered all revenue streams of the Council and we have rebutted this significant risk for all revenue streams.

For revenue streams that are derived from Council Tax, Business Rates and Grants, we have rebutted this risk on the basis that they are income streams primarily derived from grants or formula based income from central government and tax payers and that opportunities to manipulate the recognition of these income streams is very limited.

For other revenue streams, we have determined from our experience as auditor from the previous years, and through our documentation and walkthrough of your business processes around revenue recognition that the risk of fraud arising from recognition could be rebutted, because:

- there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;
- opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited;
- the culture and ethical framework of local authorities, including East Sussex Country Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.

There were no changes to our risk assessment reported in the Audit Plan. We carried out the following audit procedures:

- Evaluated your accounting policy for recognition of income for appropriateness and compliance with the Local Government Code of Accounting Practice;
- Updated our understanding of your system for accounting for income and evaluated the design of the associated controls;
- Reviewed and sample tested income to supporting evidence corroborating the occurrence of the service/good delivered and the accuracy of the amount recognised; and
- Evaluated and challenged significant estimates and the judgments made by management in the recognition of income.

Our audit work has not identified any further issues in respect of revenue recognition, subject to completion of senior management quality review as set out on page 4.

Fraudulent expenditure recognition

In line with the Public Audit Forum Practice Note 10, in the public sector, auditors must also consider the risk that material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting may arise from the manipulation of expenditure recognition (for instance by deferring expenditure to a later period).

We have considered the risk of material misstatement due to the fraudulent recognition of expenditure. We have considered each material expenditure area, and the control environment for accounting recognition. We were satisfied that this does not present a significant risk of material misstatement in the 2023/24 accounts as:

- the control environment around expenditure recognition [understood through our documented risk assessment understanding of your business processes] is considered to be in line with our expectations for an Authority of this size and complexity of operations;
- we have not found significant issues, material errors or fraud in expenditure recognition in the prior years' audits;
- our view is that, similar to revenues, there is little incentive to manipulate expenditure recognition.

There were no changes to our risk assessment reported in the Audit Plan. We carried out the following audit procedures:

- Evaluated your accounting policy for recognition of expenditure for appropriateness and compliance with the Local Government Code of Accounting Practice;
- Updated our understanding of your system for accounting for expenditure and evaluated the design of the associated controls;
- Reviewed and sample tested expenditure to supporting evidence corroborating the occurrence of the service/good obtained and the accuracy of the amount recognised; and
- Evaluated and challenged significant estimates and the judgments made by management in the recognition of expenditure.

Our audit work has not identified any further issues in respect of expenditure recognition, subject to completion of senior management quality review as set out on page 4.

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Management override of controls

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. The Authority faces external scrutiny of its spending, and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.

We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

Commentary

We have:

- Evaluated the design and implementation of management controls over journals;
- Analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals;
- Identified and tested unusual journals made during the year and the accounts production stage for appropriateness and corroboration;
- Gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied by management and considered their reasonableness;
- Evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.

Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of management override of controls.

Valuation of pension fund net liability – assumptions applied by the professional actuary in their calculation

The Authority's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net liability on defined pension scheme, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.

The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved (£177.5m net asset before the application of the asset ceiling, and after the application a revised £40m net liability in the Authority's balance sheet at 23/24) and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.

We therefore identified valuation of the Authority's pension fund net liability as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement. We have pinpointed this significant risk to the assumptions applied by the professional actuary in their calculation of the net liability.

We have concluded that there is not a significant risk of material misstatement due to the source data used by the actuary in their calculation. Despite not being considered a significant risk we still carry out testing and consideration of the source data to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence that there is no material misstatement.

We have :

- Updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluated the design of the associated controls;
- Evaluated the instructions issued by management to their actuary for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work;
- Assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Authority's pension fund valuation;
- Assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Authority to the actuary to estimate the net asset;
- Tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary;
- Undertook procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report;
- Carried out detailed substantive analytical procedures to gain assurance over the key financial movement estimates made by the actuary in their roll forward estimation procedures;
- Requested assurances from the auditor of East Sussex Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements; and
- Reviewed the calculation of the IFRIC14 asset ceiling calculation to conclude on the accuracy and reasonableness of the application of the asset ceiling.
- Note in the assurance letters from the pension fund auditor they highlighted 2 issues/errors from their audit which led to understatements in the assets within the net pension fund liability. See Appendix D for full details. The errors were not material and were not adjusted in Note 42.

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary

Closing Valuation of land and buildings

The authority revalue its land and buildings on a rolling three-yearly basis to ensure the carrying value in the Authority's financial statements is not materially different from the current value or the fair value at the financial statements date.

The valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions. We therefore identified valuation of land and buildings as significant risk requiring special audit consideration. We have further focussed our risk assessment to the valuation of land and buildings with large and/or unusual changes to their valuation approach. In order to identify such assets in the Council's valuation programme, we will make direct inquiries with the valuer to understand the source data that underpins their valuations, corroborated the source and reasonableness of the external data they rely upon for their key assumptions, and evaluated the completeness and accuracy of source data provided directly from the Trust. We will then complete analytical procedures on their valuation report, with reference to external market data, to identify those assets at greater risk of material misstatement.

For assets which are not revalued by the external valuer in year, work is carried out with the aim of ensuring the carrying value is not materially different from the fair value at the balance sheet date.

We have:

- Evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work;
- Evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert;
- Wrote to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out to ensure that the requirements of the Code are met;
- Challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding;
- Assessed how management have challenged the valuations produced by the professional valuer to assure themselves that these represent the materially correct current value;
- Tested revaluations made during the year to see if they are input correctly into the Authority's asset register;
- Evaluated the assumptions made by management for any assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value; and
- Engaged an auditor's expert professional valuer to supplement our own auditor knowledge and expertise with qualified valuer expert insight and challenge into the valuation process, methods and assumptions used.

Within our work there have been two areas which have led us to additional challenge around the Valuations of land and buildings:

- As there was a change in the key valuation partner at Bruton Knowles in year, it was decided that they would update their Schools Valuation Methodology in relation to Developed and Undeveloped land calculation. We therefore challenged this new methodology to ensure we had sufficient assurance over the new methodology, ensuring that it was both appropriate and in line with the RICS Guidance. From this work we were able to satisfy ourselves over the reasonableness of this new approach, and that it was a change in the method underlying the accounting estimate as opposed to the method used in the previous years being erroneous or incorrect. We are satisfied that the method/basis of the accounting estimate for 2023/24 is an improvement on the prior years, but the prior year method/basis is not in error and is also reasonably under the RICs Red Book/CIPFA Code. We are therefore satisfied that there is no prior period error.
- As reported in Appendix D - Impact of unadjusted misstatements, we challenged the Valuer on their application of November BCIS data rebased for Q1 2024 as opposed to actuals which were available at the valuation date (31/03/2024). We requested that the professional valuer confirmed the potential impact of this, they have been able to show us that where they update the BCIS data to the actuals available at 31/03/2024, the impact on the valuation would only be £1.967m. We were therefore able to satisfy ourselves that there is no material impact of this error, and management have decided not to adjust this in the financial statements. We are satisfied that this is reasonable.

Our audit work has not identified any further issues in respect of the valuation of land and buildings.

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary

Changes to risk assessment

The following risks have been reassessed from the version previously communicated in the Audit Plan:

- Investment Properties (Significant Risk)

Investment properties have been scoped out of our audit, due to their size (£9,941k) on the balance sheet which was significantly below our materiality threshold meaning that upon consideration the risk of material misstatement within this balance is no longer deemed to be significant.

2. Financial Statements: key judgements and estimates

This section provides commentary on key estimates and judgements in line with the enhanced requirements for auditors.

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Land and Building valuations – £388.7m	<p>Other land and buildings includes specialised assets such as schools and libraries, which are required to be valued at depreciated replacement cost (DRC) reflecting the cost of a modern equivalent asset necessary to deliver the same service provision. The remainder of other land and buildings are not specialised in nature and are required to be valued at existing use in value (EUV).</p> <p>The Council engaged an external expert valuer to complete the valuation of properties as at 31 March 2024 on a 3-year cyclical basis. 76% of total assets were revalued during 2023/24.</p> <p>For each asset, management obtain the input and advice of their professional valuer, considered what the appropriate data inputs and method would be for specific assets/groups of assets. Sources of estimation uncertainty have been considered and disclosed in the financial statements.</p> <p>Management have considered the year end value of assets not revalued by their professional valuer in year. They have considered the average valuation movements for different classifications of land and buildings during the 2023/24 year and have applied this average against the assets not revalued to estimate whether the movement in valuation would be material. In doing this they were satisfied that the net movements were below trivial and therefore are satisfied that they could not lead to material misstatement.</p> <p>The total year end valuation of land and buildings was £388.7m, a net increase of £11.5m from 2022/23 (£377.2m).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We have assessed management's valuation expert and concluded they are competent, capable and objective in producing the estimate. We have analysed the method, data and assumptions used to derive the accounting estimate; We have assessed completeness and accuracy of the underlying information used to determine the estimate; We confirmed there are no changes to valuation method; Validated sources of information used by management, management's point estimate and disclosures relating to the accounting estimate; We have reviewed management's approach to assets not revalued; In respect of the approach referred to in the above bullet point: we have assessed the adequacy of the disclosure of estimate; As there was a change in the key valuation partner at Bruton Knowles in year, it was decided that they would update their Schools Valuation Methodology in relation to Developed and Undeveloped land calculation. We therefore challenged this new methodology to ensure we had sufficient assurance over the new methodology, ensuring that it was both appropriate and in line with the RICS Guidance. From this work we were able to satisfy ourselves over the reasonableness of this new approach, and that it was a change in the method underlying the accounting estimate as opposed to the method used in the previous years being erroneous or incorrect. We are satisfied that the method/basis of the accounting estimate for 2023/24 is an improvement on the prior years, but the prior year method/basis is not in error and is also reasonably under the RICs Red Book/CIPFA Code. We are therefore satisfied that there is no prior period error. ; and As reported in Appendix D - Impact of unadjusted misstatements, we have noted an error in relation to the application of November BCIS data rebased for Q1 2024 and not actuals available at the valuation date (31/03/2024). We requested that the professional valuer confirmed the potential impact of this, they have been able to show us that where they update the BCIS data to the actuals available at 31/03/2024, the impact on the valuation would only be £1.967m. We were therefore able to satisfy ourselves that there is no material impact of this error. 	<p>We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious</p>

Assessment

- [Red] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- {Amber} We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- [Green] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements: key judgements and estimates













Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment																								
<p>Net pension liability – £40.016m</p> <p>IFRIC 14 addresses the extent to which an IAS 19 surplus can be recognised on the balance sheet and whether any additional liabilities are required in respect of onerous funding commitments.</p> <p>IFRIC 14 limits the measurement of the defined benefit asset to the 'present value of economic benefits available in the form of refunds from the plan or reductions in future contributions to the plan.</p>	<p>The Council's net pension liability at 31 March 2024 is £40.016m (PY £42.852m). This is made up from a Pension Asset of £177.5m, an asset ceiling of £217.5m was then applied in line with IFRIC 14, to calculate the net liability. This balance is comprised of the East Sussex County Council PF Local Government and unfunded defined benefit pension scheme obligations.</p> <p>The Council uses Barnett Waddingham to provide actuarial valuations of the Council's assets and liabilities derived from this scheme. A full actuarial valuation is required every three years.</p> <p>The latest full actuarial valuation was completed in 2022. Given the significant value of the net pension fund liability, small changes in assumptions can result in significant valuation movements. There has been a £684.2m net actuarial gain/loss during 2023/24.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We concluded management's actuarial expert is competent, capable and objective in producing the estimate; Based on analytical procedures we concluded the Council's share of assets and liability was in line with our expectations. We engaged an auditor's actuary expert to challenge the reasonableness of the estimation method used and the approach taken by the actuary to verify the completeness and accuracy of information used. We were satisfied that the actuary was provided with complete and accurate information about the workforce and that the method applied was reasonable; Our auditors' expert provided us with indicative ranges for assumptions by which we have assessed the assumptions made by management's expert. As set out below all assumptions were within the expected range apart from Salary Growth. We have therefore challenged the client to understand why these rates were used, further to this we have carried out sensitivity analysis to satisfy ourselves that the impact of this could never be material. Therefore, satisfied that the following assessment is appropriate: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assumption</th> <th>Actuary Value</th> <th>PwC range</th> <th>Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Discount rate</td> <td>4.90%</td> <td>4.80% to 4.95%</td> <td>●</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pension increase rate</td> <td>2.95%</td> <td>2.85% to 3.00%</td> <td>●</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Salary growth</td> <td>2.95%</td> <td>0.5% to 2.5% p.a. above Pension Increase Rate</td> <td>●</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45/65</td> <td>21.9 / 20.9</td> <td>20.6 – 23.1 / 19.2 – 21.8</td> <td>●</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45/65</td> <td>25.4 / 23.8</td> <td>24.1 – 25.7 / 22.6 – 24.3</td> <td>●</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We have contacted the auditor of the pension fund accounts to obtain assurances over the completeness and accuracy of information which has been provided to the actuary for determining the estimate. We have also carried out testing back to support held by the Council. Note in the assurance letters from the pension fund auditor they highlighted 2 issues/errors from their audit which led to understatements in the assets within the net pension fund liability. See Appendix D for full details. The errors were not material and were not adjusted in Note 42. We reviewed the adequacy of disclosure of estimate in the financial statements 	Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Assessment	Discount rate	4.90%	4.80% to 4.95%	●	Pension increase rate	2.95%	2.85% to 3.00%	●	Salary growth	2.95%	0.5% to 2.5% p.a. above Pension Increase Rate	●	Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45/65	21.9 / 20.9	20.6 – 23.1 / 19.2 – 21.8	●	Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45/65	25.4 / 23.8	24.1 – 25.7 / 22.6 – 24.3	●	<p>We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious</p>
Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Assessment																								
Discount rate	4.90%	4.80% to 4.95%	●																								
Pension increase rate	2.95%	2.85% to 3.00%	●																								
Salary growth	2.95%	0.5% to 2.5% p.a. above Pension Increase Rate	●																								
Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45/65	21.9 / 20.9	20.6 – 23.1 / 19.2 – 21.8	●																								
Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45/65	25.4 / 23.8	24.1 – 25.7 / 22.6 – 24.3	●																								

Assessment

- [Red] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- [Amber] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- [Green] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements: Information Technology





This section provides an overview of results from our assessment of the relevant Information Technology (IT) systems and controls operating over them which was performed as part of obtaining an understanding of the information systems relevant to financial reporting. This includes an overall IT General Control (ITGC) rating per IT system and details of the ratings assigned to individual control areas.

IT application	Level of assessment performed	Overall ITGC rating	ITGC control area rating			Additional procedures carried out to address risks arising from our findings
			Security management	Technology acquisition, development and maintenance	Technology infrastructure	
Asset 4000	ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)					
ContrOCC	ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)					
SAP	Detailed ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)					Review of PY deficiency for this system was completed in year. And the deficiency was still in place during the 2023/24 financial year. See conclusions below.

SAP findings

Note – this section of report will be discussed in a separate part of the meeting.

Assessment

-  Significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements
-  Non-significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements/significant deficiencies identified but with sufficient mitigation of relevant risk
-  IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements judged to be effective at the level of testing in scope
-  Not in scope for testing

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit Committee . We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation will be requested from the Council ahead of the auditor’s report being signed.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements



Issue	Commentary
Confirmation requests from third parties	We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests in respect of your bank, investments and loan balances. This permission was granted, and the requests were sent and some were returned with positive confirmation. There are currently 3 requests not received and we are pursuing a response.
Accounting practices	We have evaluated the appropriateness of the Council's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
Audit evidence and explanations/ significant difficulties	All information and explanations requested from management was provided.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements



Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management’s use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA [UK] 570).

Issue	Commentary
<p>Going concern</p>	<p>In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Council recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies.</p> <p>Practice Note 10 sets out the following key principles for the consideration of going concern for public sector entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the use of the going concern basis of accounting is not a matter of significant focus of the auditor’s time and resources because the applicable financial reporting frameworks envisage that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity’s services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist, and so a straightforward and standardised approach for the consideration of going concern will often be appropriate for public sector entities • for many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the reporting entity and the services it provides is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis of accounting. Our consideration of the Council’s financial sustainability is addressed by our value for money work, which is covered elsewhere in this report. <p>Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the Council meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In doing so, we have considered and evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the nature of the Council and the environment in which it operates • the Council’s financial reporting framework • the Council’s system of internal control for identifying events or conditions relevant to going concern • management’s going concern assessment. <p>On the basis of this work, we have obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to enable us to conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified • management’s use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

2. Financial Statements: other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Other information	<p>We are required to give an opinion on whether the other information published together with the audited financial statements including the Annual Governance Statement, Narrative Report and Pension Fund Financial Statements, is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.</p> <p>This work has not identified any issues.</p>
Matters on which we report by exception	<p>We are required to report on a number of matters by exception in a number of areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • if the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with disclosure requirements set out in CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit, • if we have applied any of our statutory powers or duties. • where we are not satisfied in respect of arrangements to secure value for money and have reported [a] significant weakness/es. <p>We have nothing to report on these matters.</p>



2. Financial Statements: other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts	We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions. However the National Audit Office (NAO) have requested that auditor's hold open certificates as they will be completing further work on a sample of audits for 2023/24 yet to be confirmed.
Certification of the closure of the audit	We intend to certify the closure of the 2023/24 audit of East Sussex County Council on completion of any potential work requested by the NAO as per the row above.

3. Value for Money arrangements (VFM)

Approach to Value for Money work for 2023/24

The National Audit Office issued its guidance for auditors in April 2020. The Code requires auditors to consider whether the body has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

When reporting on these arrangements, the Code requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under the three specified reporting criteria.



Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Arrangements for improving the way the body delivers its services. This includes arrangements for understanding costs and delivering efficiencies and improving outcomes for service users.



Financial Sustainability

Arrangements for ensuring the body can continue to deliver services. This includes planning resources to ensure adequate finances and maintain sustainable levels of spending over the medium term (3-5 years)



Governance

Arrangements for ensuring that the body makes appropriate decisions in the right way. This includes arrangements for budget setting and management, risk management, and ensuring the body makes decisions based on appropriate information

Potential types of recommendations

A range of different recommendations could be made following the completion of work on the body's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, which are as follows:



Statutory recommendation

Written recommendations to the body under Section 24 (Schedule 7) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. A recommendation under schedule 7 requires the body to discuss and respond publicly to the report.



Key recommendation

The Code of Audit Practice requires that where auditors identify significant weaknesses in arrangements to secure value for money they should make recommendations setting out the actions that should be taken by the body. We have defined these recommendations as 'key recommendations'.



Improvement recommendation

These recommendations, if implemented should improve the arrangements in place at the body, but are not made as a result of identifying significant weaknesses in the body's arrangements

This work has been completed as reported separately in our Auditor's Annual Report (AAR) to this meeting. In this work, we have concluded that there is a significant weakness in arrangements to secure financial sustainability. This is a result of local government sector wide challenges, of increasing service demand coupled with static or reducing government funding creating a financial gap, and future affordability challenges, as it stands in a climate of limited reserves. We have issued a key recommendation with respect to this weakness. We have also made some more minor improvement recommendations in respect of governance and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness. We have considered the findings detailed in the Auditor's Annual Report (AAR) and we are satisfied that these do not impact on the financial statements or our opinion on the financial statements.

4. Independence considerations

Ethical Standards and ISA (UK) 260 require us to give you timely disclosure of all significant matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm or covered persons (including its partners, senior managers, managers).

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention and consider that an objective reasonable and informed third party would take the same view. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix E.

Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see [Grant Thornton International Transparency report 2023](#).

4. Independence considerations

As part of our assessment of our independence we note the following matters:

Matter	Conclusion
Relationships with Grant Thornton	We are not aware of any relationships between Grant Thornton and the Council that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, independence and objectivity
Relationships and Investments held by individuals	We have not identified any potential issues in respect of personal relationships with the Council by individuals
Employment of Grant Thornton staff	We are not aware of any former Grant Thornton partners or staff being employed, or holding discussions in respect of employment, by the Group as a director or in a senior management role covering financial, accounting or control related areas.
Business relationships	We have not identified any business relationships between Grant Thornton and the Group
Contingent fees in relation to non-audit services	No contingent fee arrangements are in place for non-audit services provided
Gifts and hospitality	We have not identified any gifts or hospitality provided to, or received from, a member of the Group's board, senior management or staff.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention and consider that an objective reasonable and informed third party would take the same view. The firm and each covered person [and network firms] have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

4. Independence considerations

Audit and non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council. The following non-audit services were identified which were charged from the beginning of the financial year to September 2024, as well as the threats to our independence and safeguards that have been applied to mitigate these threats.

Service	Fees £	Threats identified	Safeguards
Audit related			
Certification of Teachers Pension Return	12,500	<p>Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)</p> <p>Self review (because GT provides audit services)</p> <p>Management (if GT were to recommend a particular action or make a decision on behalf of management)</p>	<p>The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is low in comparison to the total fee for the audit and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.</p> <p>To mitigate against the self review threat, the timing of certification work is done after the audit has completed, materiality of the amounts involved to our opinion and unlikelihood of material errors arising and the Council has informed management who will decide whether to amend returns for our findings and agree the accuracy of our reports on grants.</p> <p>The scope of this work does not include making decisions on behalf of management or recommending a particular course of action.</p>

These services are consistent with the Council's policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditors. All services have been approved by the Audit Committee.

None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Appendices

- A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance
- B. Action plan – Audit of Financial Statements
- C. Follow up of prior year recommendations
- D. Audit Adjustments
- E. Fees and non-audit services
- F. Auditing developments
- G. Management Letter of Representation
- H. Audit opinion
- I. Audit letter in respect of delayed VFM work

A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	•	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, form, timing and expected general content of communications including significant risks	•	
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	•	•
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	•	•
Significant findings from the audit		•
Significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		•
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit		•
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit		•
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		•
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		•
Non-compliance with laws and regulations		•
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions		•
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		•

ISA (UK) 260, as well as other ISAs (UK), prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table here.

This document, the Audit Findings, outlines those key issues, findings and other matters arising from the audit, which we consider should be communicated in writing rather than orally, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

Respective responsibilities

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit in accordance with ISAs (UK), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities.

Distribution of this Audit Findings report

Whilst we seek to ensure our audit findings are distributed to those individuals charged with governance, we are also required to distribute our findings to those members of senior management with significant operational and strategic responsibilities. We are grateful for your specific consideration and onward distribution of our report to all those charged with governance.

B. Action Plan – Audit of Financial Statements

We have identified 2 recommendation for the Council as a result of issues identified during the course of our audit. We have agreed our recommendations with management and we will report on progress on these recommendations during the course of the 2024/25 audit. The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

Assessment	Issue and risk	Recommendations
	<p><u>GIA and Land Area Records</u></p> <p>Within our audit work we noted many instances where the council was unable to find or struggled to obtain backing for their gross internal areas (GIAs) and Land areas. Where this information was found for GIAs, it was in the form of condition surveys and therefore floor plans were still unavailable. This meant that for some items not directly maintained by the council there were issues in finding information and required us to go back to information provided to the current valuers by their predecessors. For Land Areas, the client struggled to find audit evidence in a timely manner and we had to obtain some evidence of site areas from the valuer.</p>	<p>We therefore recommend that the council improves their system for storing data in relation to GIA and Land area records to ensure that the information is being appropriately updated and is readily available for audit purposes.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>We will undertake an appropriate review of the documentation held on land and floor areas and obtain updated support where necessary.</p>
	<p><u>Cut off error in insurance claim income recognition</u></p> <p>During our testing of payments received to conclude on whether income had been recognised in the correct period, we identified one error in which insurance claim income was being recognised based on when the council received the income post year end, as opposed to when the Council had confirmation that the insurance claim was successful pre year end which would be the correct point at which to recognise the revenues under the accruals principle. The amount of the error was small but we raise a recommendation here against this control deficiency.</p>	<p>We therefore recommend that the council ensures that the income cut-off recognition policy (accruals based) is applied in the same way to all relevant streams of income that the council receives.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>We will provide training on the year end process to ensure that all transactions, both income and expenditure, are appropriately accrued in the future, where required.</p>

Controls

- High – Significant effect on financial statements
- Medium – Limited Effect on financial statements
- Low – Best practice

C. Follow up of prior year recommendations

We identified the following issues in the audit of East Sussex County Council Council's 2022/23 financial statements, which resulted in 2 recommendations being reported in our 2022/23 Audit Findings report. We are pleased to report that management have implemented our high priority recommendation from the Previous Year, our audit work is still ongoing in relation to the medium priority recommendation, and this will be concluded once this work has been finalised.

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
✓	<p><u>Assets not revalued by the professional valuer</u></p> <p>Management have considered the year end value of assets not revalued by their professional valuer in year. They have considered the average valuation movements for different classifications of land and buildings during the 2020/21 and 2021/22 years and have applied this average against the assets not revalued to estimate whether the movement in valuation would be likely to be material. Management have concluded that the movement in valuation would likely be material and have then used these indices to adjust the assets values in the general ledger and financial statements by £28m.</p> <p>As the finance team do not have the same expertise as the professional valuer in this particular estimate, this means there is greater estimation uncertainty in this valuation movement.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>The Council having assessed that assets not revalued could be materially misstated should have in our opinion engaged with their valuer to endorse the approach taken to apply a valuation to such assets, rather than apply a method by financial accountants</p>	<p>As per ESCC procedures in 23/24 FY, a larger proportion of assets have been revalued compared to the previous year, this has meant that there was significantly less variation in assets not revalued within the current year and therefore no indexation was required in year.</p> <p>Upon our assessment of assets revalued, we are satisfied that the average movement impact was less than our triviality threshold and therefore satisfied that they were not materially misstated.</p>
✓	<p><u>Related Parties Form completeness check</u></p> <p>During our audit we reviewed and tested the completeness of the related party transactions disclosed in the accounts. As part of our testing we gain assurance over the completeness of this disclosure by obtaining the Related Party return form for 22/23 and ensuring these are consistent with the note.</p> <p>For 22/23, we note that for the 2022/23 accounts a small number (3) of the return forms had not been received by the Council. These forms are key to ensuring that complete and accurate disclosures of any related party transactions are made in the financial statements.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>The Council should ensure that a process is in place to remind/chase members who have not submitted these return forms, to ensure a full set is received.</p>	<p>Work still ongoing. This will be updated upon completion of the Related Parties work.</p>

Assessment

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

D. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

Impact of adjusted misstatements

In year no adjustment misstatements have been noted as part of our audit procedures.

Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure/issue/Omission	Auditor recommendations	Adjusted?
Various minor casting/Disclosure amendments	We identified a small number of minor casting and disclosure issues. Management response Agreed and those were amended in the accounts	✓
Exit Packages Note	During testing we noted some inconsistencies between the balances disclosed within the Exit Packages note where compared to our expectation of the disclosure as per the code. Include the admission of some items which we would not expect to be disclosed and the omission of items including pension strain costs which we require to be disclosed. Management response Agreed and those were amended in the accounts in line with other Exit Packages disclosure made by the council and therefore in line with the code.	✓
Note 42 Defined Benefit Pension Schemes	In our review of the letter from the pension fund auditor detailing the findings of their completed audit it was highlighted that they had identified 2 misstatements in the assets which would impact the net pension liability in the Council financial statements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In providing information to the actuary, the Pension Fund has provided the net investments of the plan. In accordance with IAS26, it should be the full net assets of the Pension Fund which should be provided to the actuary in order to make their valuation. This has led to a £5.531m understatement in the assets within the overall net liability in the Council financial statements. - In the testing of the Pension Fund investments by the pension fund auditor they identified a factual understatement in the investments which has led to a £4.601m understatement in the assets within the overall net liability in the Council financial statements. <p>Note that due to the IFRIC14 asset ceiling limitation on recognition of a net asset these misstatements have no impact on the balance sheet valuation of the net pension liability, but they would only have an impact on the disclosure of the total assets in Note 42. As this is immaterial in total (a total £10.1m understatement of assets) management have opted not to amend the disclosure for this amount and we consider this reasonable as it falls below materiality and would not impact users understanding of the financial statements.</p> Management response Agreed and as this is not material to the financial statements this has not been adjusted.	x

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Misclassification and disclosure changes (continued)

Disclosure/issue/Omission	Auditor recommendations	Adjusted?
<p>Note 42 Defined Benefit Pension Schemes</p>	<p>In our review and testing of the disclosure of transactions relating to post-employment benefits that have been made in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and the General Fund Balance via the Movement in Reserves Statement, we identified that the figure for Reversal of net charges made to the Surplus or Deficit on the Provision of Services for post-employment benefits in accordance with the Code for 2023/24 was incorrect and did not agree to the Benefits charged to the surplus or deficit on provision of services stated above. The amount disclosed in the draft statements was £12.690m which was in fact the net of the total charges and the employers' contributions payable which is the adjustment between the accounting and funding basis. We challenged the Council and they agreed this was an error and the Note was amended so that the figure for Reversal of net charges was amended to £28.750m.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed and this was amended in the accounts.</p>	<p>✓</p>
<p>Note 42 Defined Benefit Pension Schemes (Prior Period Error)</p>	<p>Similarly in our review and testing of Note 42, we identified that the prior year comparative figure for Reversal of net charges made to the Surplus or Deficit on the Provision of Services for post-employment benefits in accordance with the Code for 2023/24 was incorrect and did not agree to the Benefits charged to the surplus or deficit on provision of services stated above. The amount disclosed in the draft statements was £437.010m which appeared to be an error made in extracting figures from the working papers. The figure should have been £37.550m to agree to the total Benefits charged to the surplus or deficit on provision of services stated above. We challenged the Council and they agreed this was an error and the Note was amended so that the figure for Reversal of net charges was amended to £37.550m. A disclosure of the nature of the error and the correction was also included under the table in order to meet the requirements of the Code section 3.3.4.5.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed and this was amended in the accounts.</p>	<p>✓</p>
<p>Balance Sheet and Note 35 – Code Compliance</p>	<p>In our review and testing of liabilities in the accounts it was noted that the Council is disclosing a balance of “Income in Advance” on the Balance Sheet. The Code states that only Grants and Contributions Received in Advance are required to be reported on the face of the Balance Sheet. We recommended that the Council move the genuine income in advance within this total into the balance of Short Term Creditors and to change the title of the remaining balance. The Council has decided not to make this change and will instead carry out further analysis of this balance in the 2024/25 financial statements to ensure the change is accurate. We are satisfied that the income in advance balance is not material and that this is not fundamental/material to users' understanding of the financial statements, but note that the terminology is not Code compliant. We note that the financial statements disclose a further analysis of this Income in Advance termed more clearly as grants and contributions received in advance, though we are satisfied that the user of the financial statements would understand that this corresponds to the Income in Advance balance on the Balance Sheet.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Acknowledged that the terminology is not Code compliant and this will be looked at in the 2024/25 financial statements.</p>	<p>x</p>

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Misclassification and disclosure changes (continued)

Disclosure/issue/Omission	Auditor recommendations	Adjusted?
<p>Note 6 Expenditure and Funding Analysis note</p>	<p>This Note discloses a total of £74.23m in adjustments to arrive at the net amount chargeable to the General Fund. As this is material, it was our view that this should be further explained so that the user of the financial statements can understand the nature of these adjustments.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed and a further explanatory note was added to explain these adjustments.</p>	<p>✓</p>
<p>Note 32 Officers' Remuneration</p>	<p>In our review and testing of this note we identified that 2 officers who should have been banded in the £80-85k classification instead of the £85-90K banding;</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed and this was amended in the statements.</p>	<p>✓</p>
<p>Note 33 Termination Benefits and Exit Packages</p>	<p>In our review and testing of this note we identified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pension strain cost had been incorrectly omitted from the disclosure; - 1 package had been incorrectly banded in the disclosure. <p>The note was amended to resolve both issues.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed and this was amended in the statements.</p>	<p>✓</p>

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Impact of adjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2023/24 audit which have been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Statement of Financial Position £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000	Impact on general fund £000
<p>Reclassification of PPE to Intangibles – Note 14 and 16 and Balance Sheet</p> <p>We noted that the MBOS/Oracle asset in development should have been classified as an intangible asset as opposed to Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE). Additions had been made into the PPE classification during 2023/24 therefore meaning that the additions and the closing balance were therefore misstated.</p>	Nil	<p>DR Additions Intangibles £6,912k</p> <p>CR Additions PPE £6,912k</p> <p>DR Closing Balance Intangibles £9,447k</p> <p>CR Closing Balance £9,447k</p>	Nil	Nil
Overall impact	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Impact of unadjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2023/24 audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Statement of Financial Position £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000	Impact on general fund £000	Reason for not adjusting
<p>BCIS applied to Valuation</p> <p>We have noted an error in relation to the application of November BCIS data rebased for Q1 2024 and not actuals available at the valuation date (31/03/2024). We requested that the professional valuer confirmed the potential impact of this, they have been able to show us that where they update the BCIS data to the actuals available at 31/03/2024, the impact on the valuation would only be an increase of £1.967m. We were therefore able to satisfy ourselves that there is no material impact of this error.</p>	CR Revaluation Reserve £1,967k	DR Non-Current Assets (Land & Buildings) £1,967k	CR Revaluation Reserve £1,967k	Nil	This balance is immaterial therefore appropriate to not adjust the accounts for this balance.
Overall impact	£1,967k	(£1,967k)	£1,967k	Nil	

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Impact of prior year unadjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the prior year audit which had not been made within the final set of 2022/23 financial statements. Satisfied where this is considered alongside any adjusted misstatements from the current year that these could not be cumulatively material.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £'000	Statement of Financial Position £' 000	Impact on total net expenditure £'000	Impact on general fund £'000	Comment on any ongoing impact on the 2023/24 financial statements
<p>Schools Land MEA</p> <p>As part of our testing of Land and Buildings valuation, we determined that the Council's professional valuer had taken an approach to the Modern Equivalent Asset (MEA) basis of valuation of schools developed and undeveloped land using a prescribed formula as per Bulletin 103 Annexure B (Site area). Our view was that this approach was reasonable, but in our testing we found there was an error in the application of the formula resulting in the valuation of schools land being overstated by £3,706,124.</p>	DR Revaluation Reserve £3,706k	CR Non-Current Assets(Land & Buildings) £3,706k	DR Revaluation Reserve £3,706k	Nil	The assets have been revalued at the 2023/24 year end so this issue on the valuation at 2022/23 year end would no longer have an impact.
<p>Schools Building MEA</p> <p>As part of our testing of Land and Buildings valuation, we determined that the Council's profession valuer had taken an approach to the Modern Equivalent Asset (MEA) basis of valuation of schools developed and undeveloped land using a prescribed formula as per Bulletin 103 Annexure B (Site area). Our view was that this approach was reasonable, but in our testing we found that there was an error in the application of the formula resulting in the valuation of schools buildings being overstated by £8,185,000.</p>	DR Revaluation Reserve £8,185k	CR Non-Current Assets(Land & Buildings) £8,185k	DR Revaluation Reserve £8,185k	Nil	The assets have been revalued at the 2023/24 year end so this issue on the valuation at 2022/23 year end would no longer have an impact.
Overall impact	£11,891k	(£11,891k)	£11,891k	Nil	

E. Fees and non-audit services

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

Audit fees	Proposed fee	Final fee
Scale fee	£262,546	£262,546
Additional audit risk assessment and business process documentation related to ISA 315	£12,550	£12,550
Use of external audit valuation expert – current estimate as work is still in progress and the final disbursement is to be confirmed	£6,000	£4,900
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£281,096	£279,996

Non-audit fees for other services	Proposed fee
Teachers Pensions return certification	£12,500
Total non-audit fees (excluding VAT)	£12,500

The fees reconcile to the financial statements as follows:

- fees per financial statements as stated in Note 34 to the accounts and headed “Fees payable to Grant Thornton with regard to external services carried out by the appointed auditor for the year: £275k (composed of the Scale fee and the fee above for the additional audit risk assessment and business process documentation related to ISA315)
- reconciling item 1; the fee for the use of the external audit valuation expert £6k. Since the audit plan was communicated it has been confirmed by PSAA that such fees for external auditors will be treated as disbursement additional fees as opposed to being included in the Scale fee. As this is highly trivial in amount we have not proposed this as an adjustment to the fee accrued and disclosed in the accounts (also noting that this fee remains an estimated amount as we await confirmation from the auditor’s valuation expert of the final fee).
- reconciling item 2, the fee included in the accounts and audit plan for the Teachers Pensions return certification was an early estimate based on prior year fees for the work; the actual fee for 2023/24 for this work has increased to £12,500. Similarly as this amount is highly trivial in amount we have not proposed this as an adjustment to the the fee accrued and disclosed in the accounts (also noting that this fee remains an estimated amount as the work has not been completed and so the fee is subject to change if the work does not progress as per specification).
- Reconciling item 3; external audit valuation expert final fee £1,100 less than proposed estimate.
- total fees per above: £280k

Note during the 2023/24 financial year amounts were billed relating to the Teachers Pensions return certification years 19/20 £7,000, 21/22 £7,500 and 22/23 £10,000. These non-audit service fees have been communicated previously and we have confirmed our independence with respect to this work in the relevant financial year.

None of the above services were provided on a contingent fee basis.

This covers all services provided by us and our network to the Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, objectivity or independence.

F. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

Independent auditor's report to the members of East Sussex County Council

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Opinion on financial statements

We have audited the financial statements of East Sussex County Council (the 'Authority') for the year ended 31 March 2024, which comprise the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, and Notes to the Accounting Statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24.

In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2024 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law, as required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) ("the Code of Audit Practice") approved by the Comptroller and Auditor General. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We are responsible for concluding on the appropriateness of the Chief Finance Officer's (Section 151 Officer) use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify the auditor's opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Authority to cease to continue as a going concern.

In our evaluation of the Chief Finance Officer's (Section 151 Officer) conclusions, and in accordance with the expectation set out within the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24 that the Authority's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis, we considered the inherent risks associated with the continuation of services provided by the Authority. In doing so we had regard to the guidance provided in Practice Note 10 Audit of financial statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2022) on the application of ISA (UK) 570 Going Concern to public sector entities. We assessed the reasonableness of the basis of preparation used by the Authority and the Authority's disclosures over the going concern period.

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the Chief Finance Officer's (Section 151 Officer) use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer) with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report.

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts, other than the financial statements, our auditor's report thereon and our auditor's report on the pension fund financial statements. The Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer) is responsible for the other information. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Other information we are required to report on by exception under the Code of Audit Practice

Under the Code of Audit Practice published by the National Audit Office in April 2020 on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice) we are required to consider whether the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with the requirements of the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24, or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit. We are not required to consider whether the Annual Governance Statement addresses all risks and controls or that risks are satisfactorily addressed by internal controls.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters required by the Code of Audit Practice

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the financial statements, the other information published together with the financial statements in the Statement of Accounts for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if:

- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 [in the course of](#), or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 [in the course of](#), or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or,
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters.

F. Audit opinion

Responsibilities of the Authority and the Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer)

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities, the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer). The Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer) is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24, for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer) determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer) is responsible for assessing the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless they have been informed by the relevant national body of the intention to dissolve the Authority without the transfer of its services to another public sector entity.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud, is detailed below.

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the Authority and determined that the most significant which are directly relevant to specific assertions in the financial statements are those related to the reporting frameworks (the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2023/24, the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, Local Government Act 2003 and the Local Government Act 1972).

We enquired of management and the Audit Committee, concerning the Authority's policies and procedures relating to:

- the identification, evaluation and compliance with laws and regulations;
- the detection and response to the risks of fraud; and
- the establishment of internal controls to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.

We enquired of management, internal audit and the Audit Committee, whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations or whether they had any knowledge of actual, suspected or alleged fraud.

We assessed the susceptibility of the Authority's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur, by evaluating management's incentives and opportunities for manipulation of the financial statements. This included the evaluation of the risk of management override of controls and the risk of management bias in accounting estimates. We determined that the principal risks were in relation to:

- Journal entries that could alter the Trust's financial performance for the year.
- Material accounting estimates which were subject to significant management judgement, a high level of estimation uncertainty and high sensitivity to small changes in assumptions.

Our audit procedures involved:

- evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls management has in place to prevent and detect fraud.
- journal entry testing, with a focus on material manual journals posted close to year end, material manual accrual journals posted at year end, any journals posted by unauthorised users and journals posted by senior management.
- challenging assumptions and judgements made by management in its significant accounting estimates in respect of land and buildings and valuation of net defined benefit pension liability.
- assessing the extent of compliance with the relevant laws and regulations as part of our procedures on the related financial statement item.

These audit procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements were free from fraud or error. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error and detecting irregularities that result from fraud is inherently more difficult than detecting those that result from error, as fraud may involve collusion, deliberate concealment, forgery or intentional misrepresentations. Also, the further removed non-compliance with laws and regulations is from events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we would become aware of it.

We communicated relevant laws and regulations and the potential fraud risks to all engagement team members, including the potential for management override of controls and the risk of management bias in accounting estimates. We remained alert to any indications of non-compliance with laws and regulations, including fraud, throughout the audit.

Our assessment of the appropriateness of the collective competence and capabilities of the engagement team included consideration of the engagement team's:

- understanding of, and practical experience with audit engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation
- knowledge of the local government sector
- understanding of the legal and regulatory requirements specific to the Authority including:
 - the provisions of the applicable legislation
 - guidance issued by CIPFA/LASAAC and SOLACE
 - the applicable statutory provisions.

In assessing the potential risks of material misstatement, we obtained an understanding of:

- the Authority's operations, including the nature of its income and expenditure and its services and of its objectives and strategies to understand the classes of transactions, account balances, expected financial statement disclosures and business risks that may result in risks of material misstatement.
- the Authority's control environment, including the policies and procedures implemented by the Authority to ensure compliance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements – the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Matter on which we are required to report by exception – the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

F. Audit opinion (continued)

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, we have not been able to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2024.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matter except on 22 November 2024 we identified a significant weakness in the Authority's arrangements for financial sustainability. This was in relation to the Authority's arrangements for ensuring future financial sustainability. This is a result of sector wide challenges, increasing service demand and reduced government funding creating a financial gap and affordability challenges which could require significant use and diminishment of reserves in future years if the financial gap is not closed. We have recommended that:

- the Authority's should continue to seek to be financially sustainable in the medium to long term by continuing to raise the challenges faced in all appropriate [forums](#);
- the Authority should continue to develop savings plans, to identify savings via efficiencies and/or changes in services, [so as to](#) ensure that the authority's cost profile is as lean as it can be while providing the statutory services required; and
- the Authority should continue discussion on suitable funding for demand led services alongside national local government reform.

Responsibilities of the Authority

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Auditor's responsibilities for the review of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to be satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

We undertake our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in January 2023. This guidance sets out the arrangements that fall within the scope of 'proper arrangements'. When reporting on these arrangements, the Code of Audit Practice requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under three specified reporting criteria:

- Financial sustainability: how the Authority plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance: how the Authority ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the Authority uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

We document our understanding of the arrangements the Authority has in place for each of these three specified reporting criteria, gathering sufficient evidence to support our risk assessment and commentary in our Auditor's Annual Report. In undertaking our work, we consider whether there is evidence to suggest that there are significant weaknesses in arrangements.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements – Delay in certification of completion of the audit

We cannot formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate for East Sussex County Council for the year ended 31 March 2024 in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice until we have completed the work necessary to

issue our Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) Component Assurance statement for the Authority for the year ended 31 March 2024. We are satisfied that this work does not have a material effect on the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2024.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Signature:

Joanne Brown, Key Audit Partner

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Local Auditor

London

Date:

